

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,572	07/08/2003	Robert R. Hunter	375283-00102	8982
37509 75	590 06/20/2005		EXAMINER	
DECHERT L	LP		TSAI, CA	ROL S W
P.O. BOX 1000	04			
PALO ALTO,	CA 94303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2857	

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		d	<i>/</i>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/615,572	HUNTER, ROBERT	R.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carol S. Tsai	2857	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphane, anomanion	((, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendr	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:) will not be entered, or b) vovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but the content of the	out before or on the date of filing a	Notice of Appeal will	not be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears over and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

13. Other: _____.

See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Primary Examiner

Card S. W. Tsai

Call M. J.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1.

Applicant's arguments filed June 8, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Selph et al. do not teach computing a forecast of consumption for one or more predetermined periods of time. The Examiner disagrees with Applicant. Selph et al. disclose a data collection computer provided with both audible and silent alarms for providing an alerting signal in response to emergencies or in response to predetermined utility usage that clearly indicates a predetermined utility usage being set up and being used for comparing with the utility consumption of the utility users measured by a plurality of utility metering devices in order that a alarm can be activated if the utility consumption is over the a predetermined utility usage. Therefore, Selph et al. do disclose computing a forecast of consumption for one or more predetermined periods of time.